

CRAWFORD PLLC

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next

I verily believe I am the	original, first and sole inventor (i	f only one name is listed below) or and for which a patent is sought or	a joint inventor (if plural n the invention entitled:
HANDOVER.	ic subject matter which is claimed	mid for winding parent to be again of	
HANDOVEK.		:	
The specification of which a. is attached hereto	•		
h 🔀 is entitled HANDOVER.	having attorney docket number Ko	OLS.047PA (NC35787US).	
c. X was filed on 10 September	r 2003 as application serial no. 10.	659,777 and was amended on	(if applicable)
(in the case of a PCT-filed applied	cation) described and claimed in it	nternational no. filed and as	s amended on (if any), which
I have reviewed and for which I	solicit a United States patent.		
I hereby state that I have reviewed by any amendment referred to all		the above-identified specification,	including the claims, as amended
I acknowledge the duty to disclo Code of Federal Regulations, §	se information which is material t 1.56 (attached hereto).	o the patentability of this application	on in accordance with Title 37,
inventor's certificate listed below	enefits under Title 35, United State of and have also identified below a dication on the basis of which price	es Code, § 119/365 of any foreign ny foreign application for patent or ority is claimed:	application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate having a
a. no such applications have	heen filed		
b. Such applications have be	een filed as follows:		·
F	OREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, O	CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC	§ 119
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING	DATE OF ISSUE
COUNTRI	1	(day, month, year)	(day, month, year)
Finland	20021638	12 September 2002	
		ILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLIC	CATION(S)
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING	DATE OF ISSUE
COUNTRY	THE EBOTE HOW IN OUR DEED	(day, month, year)	(day, month, year)
1			1
L		<u></u>	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120/365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)		

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month. Year)		

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

Funk, Steven R. Hollingsworth, Mark A. Reg. No. 38,491

Reg. No. 37,830

Crawford, Robert J. Curtin, Eric J.

Reg. No. 32,122 Reg. No. 47,511

Maunu, LeRoy D. Davis, Clara

Reg. No. 35,274 Reg. No. 50,495

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Crawford PLLC.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Crawford PLLC at the address indicated below:

Crawford PLLC 1270 Northland Drive, Suite 390 St. Paul, Minnesota 55120

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name	
2	Of Inventor	HAVERINEN	Henry		Petter;	
0	Residence City		State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship	
·	& Citizenship	Puuppola	Finland		Finland	
1	Post Office Address	Post Office Address Linttamaentie 77	City Puuppol	a	State & Zip Code/Country	
Post Office Address Linttamaentie 77 City Pumppola State & Zip Code/Country 4/120 Signature of Inventor 201: Herry Mr. Date: 25 Sept 2003						
	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name	
2	Of Inventor					
0	Residence & Citizenship	City State or Foreign Country			Country of Citizenship	
2	Post Office Address	Post Office Address	City		State & Zip Code/Country	
Signature of Inventor 202:				Date:		
2	Full Name Of Inventor	Family Name	First Given Name		Second Given Name	
0	Residence & Citizenship	City State or Foreign Country			Country of Citizenship	
3	Post Office Address	Post Office Address City			State & Zip Code/Country	
Signature of Inventor 203:			Date:			
-	Full Name	Family Name	First Given Name	<u> </u>	Second Given Name	
2	Of Inventor	Table 1		,		
0	Residence & Citizenship	City	State or Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship	
4	Post Office Post Office Address City Address			State & Zip Code/Country		
Signature of Inventor 204:						
				L		
				· ·		

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application:
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.